

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

# PROFESSOR RAJNA'S CRITICAL TEXT OF THE DE VULGARI ELOQUENTIA.

The publication of Professor Pio Rajna's critical edition of Dante's *De Vulgari Eloquentia*, which he has prepared for the Società Dantesca Italiana, is an event of great interest and importance to all who are engaged in the serious study of Dante. As the book is only issued in a limited edition, and is in consequence not very easily accessible to the generality of Dante students, and as the annual Reports of the Dante Society are now commanding a steadily increasing circulation on both sides of the Atlantic, it was thought that those interested in the subject might be glad to have, in the form of a supplementary paper to the Report for 1897, a detailed collation of the text of the Florentine (1896) edition, with that of the Oxford (1894) edition (as representing the latest critical text previous to that of Professor Rajna).

From this collation, which is printed below, it will be seen that the emendations and restorations introduced by Professor Rajna amount to several hundreds, and there can be little doubt that the large majority of them will be accepted as final by Dante critics.

Before proceeding further, we may state that only three manuscripts of the *De Vulgari Eloquentia* are known to be in existence; of these, one (indicated by Professor Rajna as G) is in the town library at Grenoble; the second (T) is at Milan, in the library of the Marchese Trivulzio; while the third (v) is in the Vatican library at Rome. This last (v), which was executed, probably at Rome in the early years of the sixteenth century, for Cardinal Bembo (in whose handwriting are many of the marginal notes), is practically of no independent value, being, if not an actual copy of T, at any rate derived from it. The chief value of v consists in the fact that it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Il trattato *De Vulgari Eloquentia*, per cura di Pio Rajna: Firenze, Successori Le Monnier, 1896.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A certain number of copies remained over after the members of the Italian Dante Society had been supplied; intending purchasers may apply to the publishers direct or through a foreign bookseller. In compliance with a suggestion made by the present writer, Professor Rajna has undertaken to print a small edition consisting of the text alone. (Note. Since this paper was printed the promised edizione minore has been published.—See Appendix.)

occasionally gives the clue to the primitive reading of T, where this has been subsequently altered or obscured. G, which has recently been reproduced in phototype by MM. Maignien and Prompt, was executed probably in the north of Italy at the end of the fourteenth or beginning of the fifteenth century. This manuscript formed the base of Corbinelli's edition of 1577 (Paris), the editio princeps of the Latin text, and many of the illustrative and critical glosses on the margins of it are undoubtedly due to Corbinelli, as Professor Rajna has conclusively proved.

T appears to have been executed in Italy in the same district as G (the valley of the Po), and is a little earlier than it in date, belonging almost certainly to the latter half of the fourteenth century. To this manuscript also a special interest attaches, for it was at one time in the possession of Giovan Giorgio Trissino, and was the original from which he made his Italian version of Dante's treatise, the form in which the latter was for the first time printed in 1529. A large number of the corrections in this manuscript, both on the margins and in the text itself, are in the handwriting of Trissino, who evidently made a careful study of it.

Upon his collations of these manuscripts Professor Rajna has based his text, while he has at the same time availed himself of such assistance as was to be derived from Trissino's translation, and from the various printed editions. Of the latter there have been about a dozen, the best known being those of Torri (1855), Fraticelli (1857), and Giuliani (1878); the most recent, as we have already observed, is that included in the Oxford edition of the complete works of Dante, published three years ago under the editorship of Dr. Edward Moore, Principal of St. Edmund Hall, Oxford.

It must be explained with regard to the subjoined collation that mere variations of spelling have been for the most part disregarded, as have been differences of punctuation, except where these happen to be of real importance. The passages given in the left-hand column are from the Oxford text (0), references being to book, chapter, and line (e.g. I. ii. 3); those in the right-hand column are from Rajna's text (R), references in this case being to book, chapter, and paragraph (e.g. II. iii. § 4).

PAGET TOYNBEE.

### PROFESSOR RAJNA'S CRITICAL TEXT OF THE DE VULGARI ELOQUENTIA.

R.

## Ο. General title. De Vulgari Eloquio.

Incipit liber de Vulgari Eloquio,<sup>3</sup> sive idiomate, editus per Dantem.

I. i. title. Quid sit vulgaris locutio, et quo differat a grammatica.

Omitted.

- I. i. 14. Sed accipiendo vel compilando ab aliis, potiora miscentes.
  - 22. eam qua infantes
  - 34. Harum quoque duarum
- I. i. § 1. sed, accipiendo vel compilando ab aliis, potiora miscentes,
  - § 2. eam quam infantes
  - § 4. Harum duarum
- I. ii. 7. necessarium fuit:
  - 22. si obiciatur de iis qui corruere
  - 24. cum de his
  - 27. Secundo et melius,
  - 48. moverent organa sua, sic et vox
  - 62. si expresse dicenti resonaret etiam pica,
- I. ii. § 1. necessarium fuit loqui:
  - § 3. si obiciatur de hiis qui corruerunt cum de hiis

Vel secundo et melius,

- § 5. moverunt organa sua, sic ut vox
- § 6. si expresse dicenti "Pica" resonaret etiam "Pica," 4
- I. iii. 16. quia cum aliquid a ratione accipere
  - 21. quia si tantum
  - 26. natura sensuale quidem, in quantum sonus est;
- I. iii. § 2. quia, cum de ratione accipere quare, si tantum
  - § 3. nam sensuale quid est, in quantum sonus est;5

<sup>8</sup> The original title was De Vulgari Eloquentia, as may be gathered from what Dante himself says (V. E. I. i. § 1; xi. § 2; Conv. I. 5): as well as from Villani's and Boccaccio's references to the treatise.

<sup>4</sup> The omission of the first pica here in the modern edd. is due apparently to its omission by Trissino in his version.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Natura is a substitution of Corbinelli for nam. It seems better by a slight modification of the MS. reading, viz.  $quid\bar{e}$  (= quiden) for  $quid\bar{e}$  (= quiden), to read "nam sensuale quidem" instead of "nam sensuale quid est" with R.

O.

- I. iv. 23. prius a viro quam a foemina profluisse. Rationabiliter
  - 26. Quod autem
  - 34. per ipsum factus
  - 45. si responsio fuit, fuit ad Deum; et si ad Deum fuit,
  - 55. quo etiam gubernata sunt omnia.
  - ut tonitrua personeat, ignem fulgoreat,
  - I. v. 3. ad ipsum Deum
    - 12. primum hominem
    - 29. nostrorum effectuum
  - I. vi. 4. non aliter intelliguntur
    - 13. huic etiam prae cunctis proprium vulgare licebit, idest maternam locutionem, praeponere: et per consequens
    - 21. ratione magis quam sensu scapulas
    - 36. unde sum oriundus
    - 47. nisi culpa
    - 50. hac forma locuti sunt
    - 60. id quod primi loquentis labia fabricaverunt

- R.
- I. iv. § 3. vel prius quam a viro, a femina profluisse. Rationabiliter <sup>6</sup>
  - § 4. Quid autem in ipsum factus
  - § 5. siresponsio fuit ad Deum: nam, si ad Deum fuit, quo etiam gubernata sunt omnia? ut tonitrua personet, ignem fulgoret,<sup>7</sup>
- I. v. § 1. ad ipsum Dominum
  - § 1. primum nostrum
  - § 2. nostrorum affectuum
- I. vi. § 1. non aliter intelligantur
  - § 2. hic etiam pre cunctis proprium vulgare licetur, idest maternam locutionem, et per consequens <sup>8</sup>
  - § 3. rationi magis quam sensui spatulas unde sumus oriundus
  - § 4. in culpa
  - § 5. hac forma locutionis locuti sunt 9 illud quod . . . fabrica-
- I. vii. 6. Oh semper nostra natura
- I. vii. § 1. O semper natura nostra

<sup>6</sup> As R. remarks, profluisse is curious. For rationabiliter the MSS. read rationaliter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The MSS. read personeat, fulgoreat; the correction adopted by R. is due to Giuliani.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The reading *licebit... praeponere* instead of *licetur* (which he did not understand) was introduced into the text by Corbinelli, the origin of it being Trissino's rendering sard *licito preporre*.

<sup>9</sup> Fraticelli and subsequent editors omit locutionis for no good reason.

o

ъ

- I. vii. 10. per primam . . . eliminata
  - 15. et poenas malorum quae commiseras
  - 18. Non ante tertiam
  - 23. per superbiam suam et stultitiam
  - 27. sed et ipsum
  - 29. Sennaar,
  - 34. quis pater tot sustineret
  - 39. Si quidem
  - 42. pars amussibus tegulabant, pars trullis linebant, pars scindere rupes, pars mari, pars terrae intendebant vehere.
  - 60. nunc et barbarius
  - 62. sanctum idioma

- I. vii. § 2. per primam . . . eluminata 10
  - et que commiseras 11
  - § 3. Non ante tertium per superbam stultitiam 12
  - § 4. sed etiam ipsum Sennear,
  - § 5. quis patrum tot sustineret
  - § 6. Siquidem

pars amysibus regulabant, pars trullis linebant, pars scindere rupes, pars mari, pars terra vehere intendebant,<sup>13</sup> nunc barbariusque

- nunc barbariusque
- § 7. sacratum ydioma
- I. viii. 1. Ex praecedenti memorata
  - 4. tunc homines primum
  - 6. humanae propaginis principaliter
  - 10. demum ad fines occidentales protracta est, unde primitus
- I. viii. § 1. Ex precedenter memo-

tunc primum homines humane propaginis principalis <sup>14</sup> demumque ad fines occidentales protracta, forte primitus <sup>15</sup>

<sup>10</sup> The MS. reading *eluminata* (i.e. 'deprived of light') is rightly retained by R. Torri, followed by Fraticelli in his later editions, substituted *eliminata*, which was rejected at first by Giuliani in favour of *elimitata*, but restored in his final corrections.

11 The MSS. read et commiseras. Corbinelli inserted poenas malorum quae. The que (=quae) supplied by R. meets the difficulty, while its omission in MSS. is easily accounted for, as he points out.

12 MSS. per superbiam stultitiam.

<sup>18</sup> The reading tegulabant for regulabant is due to Torri, who misread the MSS. Trullis for the MS. tuillis is a correction of Witte's.

<sup>14</sup> R. reads *principalis* in obedience to the MSS.; otherwise he would have accepted *principaliter*, the reading of Corbinelli, as more suited to the context.

15 Est was supplied by Fraticelli, who followed Torri in omitting que. Forte is a correction of R.'s for the MS. fore, for which Corbinelli substituted unde, after Trissino's la onde.

- I. viii. 14. advenae
  - 15. repedassent,
  - 20. partem Europae, partem Asiae
  - 21. Ab uno postea eodemque idiomate, immunda confusione recepto,
  - 25. totum quod ab ostiis est Danubii sive Meotidis paludibus usque ad fines occidentales (qui Angliae, Italorum, Francorumque finibus, et Oceano limitantur) solum unum obtinuit idioma;
  - 49. mare, terram, et vivit, moritur, amat, et alia
  - I. ix. 6. Et quia . . . salubrius breviusque
    - alia deserentes. Nam quod in uno est rationale, videtur in aliis esse causa.

- R.
- I. viii. § 2. avene <sup>16</sup>
  repedissent, <sup>17</sup>
  partim Europe, partim
  Asie <sup>18</sup>
  - § 3. Ab uno postea eodemque ydiomate in vindice confusione recepto, 19 totum quod ab hostiis Danubii sive meotidis paludibus usque ad fines occidentales Anglie, Ytalorum Francorumque finibus et Occeano limitatur, solum unum optinuit ydioma, 20
  - § 5. mare, terram, est, vivit, moritur, amat, alia
- I. ix. § 1. Quia . . . salubrius breviusque <sup>21</sup>
  alia desinentes; nam, quod in uno est, rationali videtur in aliis esse causa.<sup>22</sup>
- 16 R. thinks avene not a mere error for advenae, but regards it as a derivative of a + venire, in the sense of che viene da, or di fuori, used purposely by way of antithesis to advenissent in the same line. (See Appendix.)
- 17 In his text R. reads repedassent, because of repedare (I. xii. § 5), but in a supplementary note (p. ccii) he reverts to the MS. reading repedissent, inasmuch as both repedere and repedare are found. (See Appendix.)
- 18 The restoration of partim...partim, which had been altered into partem...partem in G, whence it was adopted by Corbinelli and his successors, is almost certainly right, it being the difficilior lectio, which can hardly have been substituted for an earlier partem...partem; but the construction is a difficult one.
- <sup>19</sup> R.'s correction in vindice for immunda of the printed edd. is happy, the MS. readings being imundice (T), inundice (G), which are evident corruptions of a primitive inuindice.
  - 2) The departure from the MS. reading, now rightly restored by R., was due to Fraticelli.
  - <sup>21</sup> For salubrius here Giuliani arbitrarily substitutes securius.
- <sup>22</sup> Various emendations of this passage have been proposed. R.'s rationali for the MS. rationale involves the smallest amount of change.

0.

R.

- I. ix. 16. quod convenimus
  - 23. Gerardus de Borneil:
  - 24. 'Si m sentis fizels amics Per ver encusar Amor.'
  - 26. Rex Navarriae:
  - 28. Dom. Guido
  - 29. 'Nè fe amor'
  - 30. 'Nè cor gentil, prima ch'amor'
  - 41. Caietani,
  - 43. Burgi S. Felicis
  - 45. sermonum varietates quae accidunt, una eademque ratione patebunt.
  - 61. in eo quod diximus temporum distantia locutionem variari, sed potius
  - 71. prospicere
  - 75. quanto longiora
  - 77. admiramur, si extimationes
  - 80. sub invariabili . . . sermone,
  - 94. nil aliud
  - I. x. 1. Trifario nunc exeunte
    - 27. quod qui dulcius
    - 29. et domestici
    - 31. quia magis videntur
    - 35. iudicium reliquentes
    - quod, ceu fistulae culmen, hinc inde ad diversa stillicidia grundat, et aquae ad alterna hinc inde litora

- I. ix. § 2. quia convenimus
  - § 3. Gerardus de Brunel:

    Sim sentis fezelz amics,
    Per ver encusera Amor.

    Rex Navarre:

    Dominus Guido

    Nè fa amor

    Nè gentil cor prima che
    amor
  - § 4. Caetani,
    Burgi Sancti Felicis
    sermonum varietates, quid
    accidunt, una eademque
    ratione patebit.
  - § 6. in eo quod diximus "temporum," sed potius <sup>23</sup>

percipere
quam longiora
admiremur si extimationes 24
sub immutabili . . . sermone,
§ 7. nichil aliud

- I. x. § 1. Triphario nunc existente
  - § 4. quod dulcius qui ac domestici quia magis videtur
  - § 5. iudicium relinquentes
  - § 6. quod, ceu fictile culmen hinc inde ad diversa stillicidia grundat, aquas ad alterna hinc inde litora

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The interpolation of *distantia locutionem variari* is due to Torri, who did not recognize that the word "temporum" was a quotation from what D. had just been saying.

<sup>24</sup> For extimationes Giuliani unnecessarily substitutes aestimationes.

О.

R.

per umbricia longa distillant,

- I. x. 47. Dextrum quoque
  - 52. Marca Anconitana
  - 66. cum Anconitaneis
  - 69. cum Aquileiensibus
  - 72. Quare non a minus quatuordecim
- I. xi. title. Ostenditur Italiae aliquos
- I. xi. 1. Tam . . . latino
  - 8. existimant
  - 12. Dicimus ergo
  - 17. Me sure, quinte dici
  - 19. sciate siate
  - 28. 'Una ferina va scopai da Cascoli çita çita sen gì a grande aina.'
  - 30. Bergomates
  - 34. 'In te l' ora'
  - 35. 'Ziò fu'
  - 36. Aquileienses
  - 37. Çes fastù
  - 38. eructant.
  - 39. eiciamus,

per imbricia longa distillat,<sup>25</sup>

Dextrum quidem

- I. x. § 6. Marchia Anconitana 26
  - § 7. cum Anconitanis cum Aquilegiensibus
  - § 8. Quare ad minus .xiiij.27

Ostenditur in Italia aliquos

- I. xi. § 1. Quam . . . latio
  - § 2. extimant
    Dicimus igitur
    - Mezzure, quinto dici ? 28
  - § 3. scate sciate?

    Una fermana scopai da

    Cascidli, Cita cita sen

    gia'n grande aina.
  - § 4. Pergameos 29

    Enti l' ora

    ciò fu
  - § 5. Aquilegienses

    Ces fastu?

    eructuant.

    eicimus,

25 For fistule culmen, the reading of the MSS., which does not give a satisfactory sense, R. substitutes fictile culmen (i.e. the ridge of a tiled roof), a very happy conjecture of Professor Vitelli; this necessitates the further slight alteration of the MS. grundant, distillant into grundat, distillat.

<sup>26</sup> R. substitutes *Marchia* for the MS. *Marca* in order to be in agreement with the *Ianuensis Marchia* and *Marchia Trivisiana* of the context; but he elsewhere tolerates other inconsistencies, such as *locuntur* and *loquuntur*, *Vstrianos* and *Istria*, and the like.

 $^{27}$  Modern edd., from Fraticelli downwards, interpolate *non*, and either omit ad or substitute a,—an uncalled-for interference with the text.

<sup>28</sup> Fraticelli, on the strength of a note of Corbinelli's, explains his reading of this phrase in the Roman dialect as 'Sorella mia, che cosa dici?' R.'s reading (that of the MSS.) *Mezzure* represents 'Messere.'

<sup>29</sup> The Bergomates of modern edd. is due to Fraticelli. Pergamum, as the Latin form of Bergamo, occurs Epist. VII. 6.

R.

- I. xi. 42. Pratenses
  - 42-3. Latini . . . Latinis
    - 46. Domus nova, et Dominus meus
- I. xii. title. De idiomate Siculo et Apulo.
- I. xii. 12. 'per lo foco'
  - 14. 'longamente m' hai'
  - 29. enitebantur,
  - 31. Sicilia, factum est ut quicquid . . . Sicilianum vocetur:
  - accipere volumus, scilicet quod proditur a terrigenis
     ... elicendum videtur,
  - 50. 'Traggemi . . . bolontate.'
  - 51. accipere nolumus, sed quod
  - 55. sicut . . . ostendemus.
  - 65. prospicientibus

- I. xi. § 5. Fratenses 30
  - § 6. Latii... Latiis

    domus nova et dominus

    meus <sup>31</sup>

Quod in eodem loco diversificatur idioma secundum quod variatur tempus.

- I. xii. § 2. per lo focho lungiamente m' ai
  - § 3. nitebantur, Sicilia, factum est, quicquid . . . sicilianum vocaretur : 32
  - § 5. accipere volumus secundum quod prodit a terrigenis . . . eliciendum videtur, 38

    \*\*Tragemi . . . boluntate.\*\*
    accipere volumus secun
    - sicut . . . ostendimus.
  - § 7. perspicientibus

dum quod 84

80 While admitting Fratenses into his text R. is inclined to think he has been somewhat hasty in accepting it against the traditional Pratenses (see p. ccii). Assuming the former to be correct, R. would refer it to Fratta di Valle Tiberina, now Umbertide, which was well known on account of the neighbouring Camaldolese monastery of Monte Corona, of which St. Peter Damian was at one time abbot. (See Appendix.)

- 81 For domus nova Giuliani reads domus mea, without good reason.
- <sup>82</sup> The interpolation of ut before quicquid is due to a suggestion of Witte. The MSS. read vocetur, for which R. substitutes vocaretur, as being required by the sense of the passage.
- 83 It appears that scilicet for secundum is due to a misreading of the MSS.; proditur a terrigenis, Fraticelli's reading, is based apparently on a misprint in Torri, viz. proditur terrigenis; Giuliani reads proditur e terrigenis without remark, but e is perhaps a misprint (see his note).
- <sup>24</sup> The old reading is due to Trissino, whose rendering was based apparently on a misreading of the MSS., viz. sed for secundum.

R.

- I. xii. 67. 'dir vi voglio'
  - 69. 'vo' sì lietamente.'
  - 71. neque Apulum
- I. xii. § 7. dire vi voglio 35 vo sì letamente § 8. nec apulum
- I. xiii. title. De idiomate Tuscorum et Ianuensium.
- mate sunt aliqua turpia, sed pre ceteris tuscum est turpissimum.36

- I. xiii. 1. Post hos
  - 2. infruniti,
  - 4. plebeorum . . . intentio,
  - 10. Brunetum
  - 19. 'Manuchiamo introcque: Non facciamo altro'
  - 22. 'di Fioransa'
  - 24. 'in gassara . . . Luca.'
  - 26. 'rinegata . . . Siena.'
  - 35. sensimus,
  - 48. ammitterent 38
  - 49. reperire 39

- Quod in quolibet idio-
- I. xiii. § 1. Post hoc infroniti, plebea . . . intentio, Brunettum
  - § 2. Manichiamo introque. - Noi non facciano atro.

De Fiorensa in gassarra . . . Lucca. renegata . . . Siena! Ch'ee chesto? 37

- § 3. sentimus,
- § 4. amitterent reparare
- I. xiv. title. . . . Transpadanis . . .
- I. xiv. 2. laevam Italiam cunctam I. xiv. § 1. levam Ytaliam contanter venemur,40 venemur,
  - 6. convenientiis
  - 9. mollitiem

- ... transpadinis ...
- - § 2. convenientibus mollitudinem
- 85 R. places a dot under the e of dire to indicate that it is not sounded; he uses this same symbol (which is not very appropriate in an edition like the present) on several other occasions, e.g. lo (l. xv. § 5): gentile (II. v. § 4); core (II. vi. § 5).
- 36 The MSS. read est excelens, which is in contradiction with the contents of the chapter. R. reads est turpissimum, some such expression being wanted. The alteration was doubtless due to the outraged patriotism of a Tuscan scribe.
  - 37 Ch'ee chesto? was omitted by Trissino, and hence by Fraticelli and succeeding editors.
- 38 This, the reading of Fraticelli and Giuliani, is obviously wrong, though it occurs in one MS.
  - 89 So Fraticelli and Giuliani, misled by Trissino's trovare.
- 40 The MSS. read contanti; R.'s conjecture contanter (or, as an alternative, contantes) is manifestly preferable to cunctam, which is due to Corbinelli.

#### R

- I. xiv. 12. Hoc Romandioli omnes habent,
  - 25. Hoc... Vicentini habent, nec non Paduani
  - 32. v consonantem
  - 34. nove,
  - 37. errore compulsus
  - 41. Inter quos unum
- I. xiv. § 2. Hoc Romandiolos omnes habet,
  - § 3. Hoc... Vigentinos habet, nec non Paduanos, u consonantem 41 novem.
  - § 4. errore confisus 42

    Inter quos omnes unum

#### I. xv. title. . . . Bononiensi.

- I. xv. 1. de Italica silva . . . percunctari
  - 9. convicimus,
  - 13. quomodolibet
  - 14. Accipiunt etiam . . . mollitiem,
  - 17. quae propria
  - 30. oppositorum, ut dictum est, ad laudabilem
  - 33. Ita si
  - 42. Guinicelli . . . Ghiselerius . . . Fabricius
  - 44. nunquam a primo 45
  - 48. 'il fermo core'
  - 49. Fabritius
  - 50. 'Lo mio'
  - 52. 'soccorso,'
  - 54. residibus
  - 68. Latinum illustre

#### Bononiensium.

- I. xv. § 1. de ytala silva . . . percontari
  - § 2. conicimus, quomodocunque
  - § 3. Accipiunt etenim 43 . . . mollitudinem, que proprie
  - § 4. oppositorum ad laudabilem
  - § 5. Itaque, si
    Guinizelli . . . Ghisilerius
    Fabrutius <sup>44</sup>
    nunquam a proprio
    lo <sup>48</sup> fermo core

Fabrutius 44

Lo meo

secorso, § 6. residuis

Latium illustre

<sup>41</sup> R. is certainly right in printing u here, as against the v of the previous edd.

<sup>42</sup> MSS. confessus; R.'s emendation (he gives confusus as an alternative) is preferable to Trissino's compulsus, which was accepted by Torri and succeeding edd.

<sup>48</sup> This is a conjecture of Giuliani for the MS. ctiam, which, however, he retained in his text.

<sup>44</sup> The name of this Bolognese poet was not Fabrizio, but Fabruzzo, as R. points out.

<sup>45</sup> This is the MS. reading. R.'s conjecture has much in its favour. Giuliani's ab ipso is satisfactory as far as sense goes; but it is a mere arbitrary substitution, without any regard for palaeographical possibilities.

<sup>46</sup> I. e. 1/1; see note 35.

R

- I. xvi. title. De excellentia vulgaris eloquentiae, et quod communis est omnibus Italicis.
  - I. xvi. 5. redolentem ubique e ubique apparentem
    - 7. tendiculis
    - 8. in omni genere rerum
    - 11. et illinc . . . accipiamus.
    - 21. et de
    - 22. scilicet quod unumquodque mensurabile sit in genere illo secundum id quod simplicissimum est in ipso genere.
    - 30. illas intelligamus;
    - 37. idest morum et
    - 41. sunt actionum . . . sed in omnibus
    - 49. Deus est, qui in homine
    - 51. in hac, quam in igne:
    - 56. in viridi
    - 61. municipalia
- I. xvii. title. Quare hoc idioma illustre vocetur.

- I. xvi. title. Quod in quolibet ydiomate est aliquid pulcrum, et in nullo omnia pulcra.
- I. xvi. § 1. redolentem ubique et necubi <sup>47</sup> apparentem tenticulis
  - § 2. in omni rerum genere et illud . . . accipimus: 48 et etiam de scilicet, unumquodque mensurabile fit, secundum quod in genere est, illo quod simplicissimum est in ipso genere. 49
  - § 3. illam intelligamus; et morum et
  - § 4. sunt actiones . . . et in omnibus

    Deus est, in homine 50 in hac quam in elemento; 51
  - § 5. municipia

in viride

Quod ex multis ydiomatibus fiat unum pulcrum; et facit mentionem de Cino Pistoriensi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> An excellent emendation. Giuliani reads nec usquam after Witte, and quite unjustifiably substitutes residentem (in text) or manentem (in notes) for apparentem.

<sup>48</sup> MSS. et illico . . . accipiamus; Giuliani, after Boehmer, ut illinc . . . accipiamus. (See Appendix.)

<sup>49</sup> This most acceptable restoration of the text is arrived at by the simple expedient of substituting fit for sit, and correcting the punctuation (introduced by Corbinelli).

<sup>50</sup> R. passes over without remark the qui in previous edd.

<sup>61</sup> The history of this passage is curious; for the MS. elemento Corbinelli printed caelo, for which Torri substituted igne, which was adopted by Fraticelli and Giuliani. The latter, in his corrections, proposes an altogether absurd reconstruction of the passage, viz. 'in Coelo, quam in elementis, in igne, quam in terra, in hac, quam in igne.'!! The restoration of the MS. reading is due primarily to Witte.

Ο.

R.

- I. xvii. 5. faciemus patere.
  - 8. Per hoc quidquid illustre . . . praefulget.
  - 32. Nonne domestici sui reges, . . . et magnates quoslibet
- I. xviii. title. Quare hocidioma vocetur cardinale, aulicum et curiale.
  - I. xviii. 2. vulgarem illustrem decussamus
    - 5. et quo cardo vertitur versatur <sup>52</sup>
    - ut admoveant et removeant,
    - 17. decorari
    - 32. velut accola
  - II. xix. 9. sic est invenire
- II. i. title. . . . vulgari,
  - II. i. I. Sollicitantes iterum celeritatem . . . , et ad calamum 55
    - permanet firmum exemplar, et non e contrario, quia quaedam videntur praebere primatum ver-

- I. xvii. § 1. facimus patere.
  - § 2. Per hoc quidem quod illustre . . . perfulgens.
  - § 5. Nonne domestici sui, reges, . . . et magnates, quoslibet

De excellentia vulgaris eloquentie; et quod comunis est omnibus italicis.

- I. xviii. § 1. vulgare illustre decusamus
  ut, quo cardo vertitur,
  versetur
  ut amoveant et admoveant,
  decusari
  - § 2. velut acola 58
- I. xix. § 1. est invenire 54

vulgare,

II. i. § 1. Sollicitantes iterum celeritatem . . . ad calamum permanere videtur exemplar et non e converso, que quendam videntur prebere prima-

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  This reading originated apparently in a piece of carelessness on the part of Corbinelli, who printed et for ut, the change of mood being due to Maffei.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> R. preserves this form as having possibly a different meaning from accola.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> In a note on this passage R. draws attention to a serious gap in Giuliani's text, a whole phrase being omitted, evidently through an oversight.

<sup>55</sup> This is one of the passages where O. departs from the traditional reading, viz. *Pollicitantes*. For *celeritatem* Giuliani arbitrarily reads *sedulitatem*, which, on the strength of Trissino's *diligenza*, he coolly assumes to have been the MS. reading.

Ο.

sui; ergo secundum quod

- II. i. 27. non solum bene ipsi ruditati faciet, sed ipsum sic facere oportere videtur.
  - 30. multa possunt.
  - 49. nemo enim montaninis hoc dicet esse conveniens. Sed optimae conceptiones non possunt esse nisi ubi scientia et ingenium est; ergo optima loquela non convenit rusticana tractantibus; convenit ergo individui gratia:
  - 66. optimis conceptionibus, ut dictum est,
  - 70. nisi in illis
  - 75. Quare . . . non omnes
  - 80. bovem ephippiatum
  - 86. perfectum
- II. ii. 8. illud quod dicimus, dignum esse quod dignitatem habet,

R.

tum, primo secundum quod 56

- II. i. § 2. non solum bene facere, sed ipsum sic facere oportere videtur.<sup>57</sup> multa possunt!
  - § 5. nemo enim montaninis rusticana tractantibus hoc dicet esse conveniens; convenit ergo individui gratia.68
  - § 6. ut dictum est, optimis conceptionibus nisi illis
  - § 7. Quapropter . . . nec omnes bovem epiphyatum <sup>59</sup>
  - § 8. profectum
- II. ii. §§ 1, 2. illud quod dicimus dignum. Dicimus dignum esse quod dignitatem habet, <sup>60</sup>

 $^{56}$  Here again R. restores order out of the chaos produced by the multitude of counsellors, by simply reading  $qu\bar{e}dam$  (= quendam) for the MS. quedam (= quaedam). Giuliani has taken all sorts of liberties with the text in this passage; a little further on he, without a word of explanation, substitutes pollicitis sumus for polluximus, which he evidently did not understand. Further on again he similarly substitutes comprehendi for perpendi.

57 The interpolation in the previous edd. is due to Corbinelli.

58 This passage is much confused in the MSS. R. cuts out Sed optimae conceptiones non possunt esse nisi ubi scientia et ingenium est; ergo optima loquela non convenit, inasmuch as these identical words recur in the text a few lines further on.

<sup>59</sup> This phrase, which is evidently a reminiscence of Horace, I. *Epist.* XIV. 43: 'Optat ephippia bos, piger optat arare caballus,' occurs also in the *Magnae Derivationes* of Uguccione da Pisa, with which Dante was familiar. (See *Romania*, No. 104, Oct. 1897.)

60 R.'s repetition of dicimus dignum gives a satisfactory result, and is an ingenious way out of the difficulty of the MS. reading, without transgressing the limits of probability.

O

- II. ii. 11. cognoscitur, in quantum huius: unde cognita dignitate, cognoscemus et dignum.
  - 13. Est enim
  - 16. perventum
  - 22. sicut in aliis
  - manifestum est quod dignitates inter se comparantur
  - 32. et per consequens aliud dignum, aliud dignissimum esse constat.
  - 48. videlicet spiritu vegetabili,
  - 50. quod vegetabile est,
  - 73. Venus, virtus,
  - 85. 'Non puesc mudar q'un chantar non esparja'
  - 87. 'L'aura amara fa'ls broils blancutz clarzir'
  - 89. 'Per solatz revelhar Que s'es'
  - 92. 'Degno son io, che mora.'
  - 95. nullum Italum
  - II. iii. 3. Volentes ergo
    - 16. digna sunt
    - 38. magis honoris afferunt suis
    - 47. Adhuc . . . comprehendit
    - 49. cum ergo . . . comprehendatur,

#### R.

- II. ii. § 2. cognoscitur in quantum
  habituatum, cognita dignitate cognoscemus et
  dignum.<sup>61</sup>
  Est etenim
  profectum
  - § 3. et in aliis etiam
    manifestum est ut dignitates inter se comparentur
    et per consequens, aliquid
    dignum, aliquid dignius,
    aliquid dignissimum esse
    constat.62
  - § 4. spiritu videlicet vegetabili, quod vegetabile quid est,
  - § 5. Venus et Virtus,
  - § 6. Non posc mudar c'un cantar non exparja
    L'aura amara fal bruol brancus clairir.
    Per solaz reveillar Che s'es
    Digno sono eo de morte.
    nullum latium
- II.iii.§ 2. Volentes igitur sunt digna
  - § 5. magis afferunt suis 63
  - § 7. Ad hoc, . . . comprendit cum igitur . . . comprendatur,
- 61 The emendation habituatum for MS. huius unide (if that be the correct expansion of the MS. reading) is happy, but perhaps a little hazardous. (See Appendix.)
- 62 R. notes that *aliud dignius* was omitted by an oversight from Fraticelli's edition of 1861; it was omitted also in the third edition (1873), and hence also in O. The missing phrase is supplied in Giuliani's edition.
  - 68 The interpolation of honoris is due to Torri.

R.

- II. iii. 55. in hoc palatur, quod quicquid artis reperitur in ipsis est, sed non convertitur.

  Hoc signum autem
- II. iii. §§ 7, 8. in hoc palatur, 64 quod quicquid artis reperitur, in ipsis reperitur; sed non convertitur hoc. Signum autem
- II. iv. 1. adpotiavimus
  - 8. Et quod huc usque casualiter est assumptum,
  - 14. ergo
  - 20. fictio rethorica, in musicaque posita.
  - 22. qui magno sermone
  - 25. istos <sup>68</sup>
  - 26. doctrinae aliquid operae nostrae impendentes, . . . poeticas
  - 31. excipere aequale,
  - 32. gravatam virtutem

- II. iv. § 1. aporiavimus 65 et qui hucusque casualiter est assumptus,
  - § 2. igitur
    fictio rethorica versificata in musicaque
    posita.<sup>68</sup>
    quia magni sermone <sup>67</sup>
    illos
    doctrine operam impendentes, . . . poetrias <sup>69</sup>
  - § 3. coequare,<sup>70</sup> gravata virtute
- 64 For palatur Giuliani, without remark, substitutes patet.
- 65 This is a most satisfactory emendation of the MS. reading apotiauimus,—a word which has been a great stumbling-block to the editors. Both aporiari and aporiare (act. and neut.) were in use in mediaeval Latin. Ducange quotes the following lines from an old grammarian as to the distinction between the two:—

Aporio, si sit activum, tanta notabit, Indicat et aperit, depauperat atque revelat. Cum neutrum, signat tunc anxior atque laboro. In sensu et tali deponens vult reperiri.

- 66 R. interpolates versificata to complete the definition, and also because the -que (if that be the correct expansion of the symbol in the MSS.) indicates that a word is missing. We much prefer, however, his alternative conjecture, which necessitates no interpolation, and is quite legitimate, palaeographically, viz. fictio rethorica musice composita. (See Appendix.)
- 67 Corbinelli reads quia magno sermone; Fraticelli, Torri, Giuliani, quia isti magno s.; the reading of O. is due to Prompt.
  - $^{68}\ This\ reading\ of\ Fraticelli\ and\ Giuliani\ is\ not\ noted\ by\ R.$
- 69 The interpolations in the traditional text are due to Corbinelli. R.'s emendation necessitates merely the alteration of the MS. operi into operam; but we are inclined to favour his alternative operi intendentes. (See Appendix.)
  - 70 A satisfactory restoration of the right reading.

0.

- R.
- II. iv. 34. in principio Poeticae 'Sumite materiam' etc. dicit.
  - 37. discretione potiri
  - 39. induimus
  - 44. cantionem ligare
  - 46. et eius
  - 49. omittamus
  - 55. Sed quia,
  - 66. et pure
  - tensis fidibus adsumat secure plectrum et cum more incipiat.
  - 69. Sed cantionem, atque discretionem hanc, sicut decet, facere, hoc opus
  - 77. confiteatur eorum stul-
  - 80. a tanta.
  - v. 8. nullum adhuc invenimus carmen in syllabicando endecasyllabum transcendisse,
    - 13. pentasyllabum et eptasyl. labum et

- II. iv. § 3. in principio Poetrie, Sumite materiam dicit.
  - § 4. discretionem potiri inducimus <sup>71</sup>
  - § 5. cantionem oportet ligare <sup>72</sup> et huius obmittamus
  - § 6. Et quando,
  - § 7. ac pure

tensis fidibus, adsumptum secure plectrum tum movere incipiat.<sup>78</sup> Sed cautionem atque discretionem habere, sicut decet, hoc opus<sup>74</sup> confiteantur eorum stultitiam et a tanta

- II. v. § 2. nullum adhuc invenimus in carmine sillabicando endecadem transcendisse, pentasillabum, eptasillabum et
- 71 R. here notes a remarkable instance of the untrustworthiness of Giuliani, who reads intelligimus and justifies it as follows: 'il Cod. Vaticano ha per l'appunto intelligimus, siccome nel Volgarizzamento v'è intendemo'—it will scarcely be credited, after these explicit statements, that the passage in question is wanting both in V and in Trissino's version! Giuliani's emendation of the next two lines, which he claims to be a restoration of the genuine reading, is a further proof of his incapacity and want of self-restraint as an editor.
  - <sup>72</sup> Another excellent emendation.
- 78 R. here very happily gets rid of what he justly calls 'quel ridicolo cum more' of the MSS. and printed edd.
- 74 The interpolation of facere is due to Corbinelli, who did not see that the abbreviated hanc of the MSS. was an evident corruption of abbreviated habere. It is surprising that cantionem should not have been corrected long ago.

II. v. 20. speciositas

22. ubicumque ponderosa multiplicantur, et pondus.

O.

25. incipientes

26. de Bornello:

27. 'auziretz . . . chantars.'

34. rithmus 78

37. Navarriae

42. 'Al cor gentil ripara'

43. Messina

44. 'longiamente m' hai '

46. 'lietamente'

48. 'giammai'

50. 'muovi tua virtù dal cielo.'

 Et licet hoc endecasyllabum celeberrimum carmen, ut dictum est, videatur

61. Enneasyllabum

63. parisyllabos

75. quomodo ligare

II. v. § 3. specimen 75

ubicumque ponderosa multiplicantur, multipli-

catur et pondus.76

R.

§ 4. principiantes 77

de B.,

ausirez . . . cantars.

rithimus

Navarre

Al cor gentile repara 79

Messana

lungiamente m' ài

letamente

già mai

movi tua vertù da cielo.

§ 5. Et licet hoc quod dictum est, celeberrimum carmen, ut dignum est, videatur 80

§ 6. Neasillabum parisillaba

§ 7. quomodo viere 81

<sup>75</sup> The uncalled-for substitution of speciositas is due to Witte.

<sup>76</sup> R. does well to supply multiplicatur, which might easily have dropped out, especially as multiplicantur ends a line (in T); the construction is very harsh without it.

<sup>77</sup> Obviously preferable to Fraticelli's incipientes, the MS. reading being principantes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> This appears to be merely a reproduction of a misprint in Fraticelli, who elsewhere prints *rithimus* (see II. 9).

<sup>79</sup> See note 35.

<sup>80</sup> R. thus satisfactorily, by the help of T, restores the text which had been mutilated by Corbinelli.

<sup>81</sup> This is one of the most satisfactory of R.'s restorations, although, as he explains in a prefatory note (p. cciii), it occurred to him too late to be inserted in his text. The MSS. apparently read *inere;* his first conjecture (printed in the text) was *innectere;* the right solution was suggested by a passage in Uguccione (quoted on p. clxxv), in which the derivation of auctor, autor, is discussed,—the same passage of which Dante makes use in the Convivio (IV.6). Uguccione says: 'invenitur quoddam verbum defectivum, scilicet avico-es, idest ligo-as?' The simple verb occurs, as R. points out, in St. Isidore of Seville, who in the Etymologiae (VIII. vii. 3), in discussing the derivation of vates says: 'Vates' a vi mentis appellatos, Varro auctor est: vel a viendis carminibus, id est flectendis, hoc est modulandis.

R.

- II. vi. title. De varia constructione, qua utendum est in cantionibus.
  - II. vi. 6. modum cantionum
    - 15. hic quinque
    - 20. digressionis 82
    - 23. quia inferiorem
    - 36. Piget me cunctis, sed pietatem maiorem illorum habeo, quicumque
    - 43. sua magnificentia praeparata cunctis illum facit esse dilectum.
    - 48. Totila serus
    - 56. Navarriae
    - 57. 'Dreit Amor qu'en'
    - 59. 'm'abelhis...pensamens.'
    - 60. Harnaldus Daniel:
    - 61. 'qui . . . sobrafan, que m sortz.'
    - 62. Hamericus de Belinoi:
    - 63. 'no pot . . . adreitamen.'
    - 64. Hamericus
    - 65. 'que per sobrecarcar.'
    - 67. 'di folle impresa allo'

Quod ex cognitione diversorum auctorum perficitur scientia poetandi vulgariter.

- II. vi. § 1. modum cantionarium
  - § 2. .v. hic
  - § 3. discretionis quia nec inferiorem 83
  - § 4. Piget me, cunctis pietate maiorem, quicunque 84

sua magnificentia preparata cunctis, illum facit esse dilectum.<sup>85</sup> Totila secundus <sup>86</sup>

§ 5. Navarre 87

Ire d'amor qui en m'abellis . . . pensamen; Arnaldus Danielis, che . . . sobraffan chem sorz; Namericus de Belnui,

non pot . . . addreciamen;

Namericus

che per sobre carcar de folle 'mpresa, a lo

... Viere enim antiqui pro vincire ponebant.' Viere is also given by Uguccione, who says: "Vieo, es, vievi, vietum, idest vincire, ligare." The ligare of the printed edd. is due simply to a gloss in G.

- 82 Here O., following G, abandons the correct reading printed by Fraticelli and Giuliani.
- 88 The omission of nec in all the printed edd. appears to have been due to an oversight on the part of Corbinelli.
  - 84 R. restores the MS. reading, but there is evidently something wrong.
- 85 It is difficult to decide what should be the punctuation of this passage. R. is inclined to think that a second *cunctis* has possibly dropped out.
  - 86 There can be little doubt as to the correctness of this emendation.
- 87 R. thinks this quotation is out of its place; he inserts it between the Provençal and Italian quotations.

- II. vi. 68. Cavalcanti.
  - 69. 'di doglia cuor convien'
  - 71. 'Avenga ch' io non aggia'
  - 80. Ovidium in Metamor-
  - 83. Tullium, Livium, Plinium.
  - 86. Desistant ergo
  - 87. Guidonem
  - 89. desuetos plebescere.
- vii. title. Quae sint ponenda vocabula, et quae in metro vulgari cadere non possunt.
- II. vii. 12. quaedam pexa et irsuta, quaedam lubrica et reburra
  - 21. bona ratione . . . per alta declivia
  - 23. Intuearis ergo, lector, quantum
  - 34. propter asperitatem, ut gregia, et caetera;
  - 44. immediate post mutam locatam, quasi loquentem
  - 47. virtute, . . . letizia, . . . difesa.
  - 53. sì, vo,

R

- II. vi. § 5. Cavalcantis

  de doglia core<sup>88</sup> conven
  - Avegna che io aggia
  - § 6. Ovidium Metamorfoseos,

Titum Livium, Pli-

num,89

Subsistant igitur

Guittonem

plebescere desuetos!

Distinctio vocabulorum; et que sint ponenda, et que in metro vulgaria cadere non possunt.

- II. vii. §. 2. quedam pexa et lubrica, quedam irsuta et reburra
  - § 2. bone rationi, . . . per altera declivia
  - § 3. Intuearis ergo, lector: attende, quantum
  - § 4. propter hausteritatem, ut greggia et cetera; 90
  - § 5. inmediate post mutam, dolata <sup>91</sup> quasi, loquentem

vertute, . . . letitia, . . . defesa. 92

§ 6. sì, no,98

<sup>88</sup> See note 35.

<sup>89</sup> So the MSS. The Tullium of Fraticelli, etc., is due to Trissino.

<sup>90</sup> In a prefatory note (p. cciii) R. expresses a doubt as to whether the correct reading should not be 'ut greggia et cetra.'

<sup>91</sup> The MSS. read *mutam dolatam*, for which Witte conjectured *mutam locatam*; this was accepted by Fraticelli. Giuliani, without hesitation, reads *duplicatam*.

<sup>92</sup> R. does not note that Fraticelli and Giuliani read difesa.

<sup>98</sup> MSS. uo, but R. seems undoubtedly right in reading no, in accordance with a suggestion of Boehmer.

II. vii. 60. onore, ... alleviato, impossibilitate, ... avventuratissimamente,

69. onorificabilitudinitate,

R.

II. vii. § 6. honore, . . . alleviato, impossibilità, impossibilitate, . . . inanimatissimamente, 94
honorificabilitudinitate,

II. viii. title. Quid sitcantio, et quod pluribus modis variatur.

II. viii. 6. quid sit

- 17. vel prout passio.
- 22. Aeneidos
- 31. magis ideo prorsus denominari
- ballatae et sonitus, et omnia cuiuscumque modi verba sint armonizata ... dicimus.
- 58. liquentes,
- 64. generale videatur,
- 67. cantio, prout nos quaerimus, in quantum per superexcellentiam dicitur, est aequalium stantiarum
- 72. cum diximus:
- 73. 'ch' avete intelletto'
- 74-9. Et sic patet quod cantio sit, . . . molimur.
- 80-5. Quod autem dicimus . . . intendimus <sup>95</sup>

Ostendit quod pluribus modis variatur eloquentia vulgaris, set precipuum est per cantilenas, sive cantiones.

- II. viii. § 1. qui sit
  - § 3. vel prout est passio.
  - § 4. Eneidorum

    magis immo prorsus

     denominari
  - § 6. ballatas et sonitus, et omnia cuiuscunquemodi verba scilicet armonizata... dicemus. linquentes, generale videtur,
  - § 7. cantio, in quantum per superexcellentiam dicitur, ut et nos querimus, est equalium stantiarum

cum dicimus:

che avete intellecto

§ 8. Et sic patet quid cantio sit, . . . molimur.

<sup>94</sup> There can be little doubt that R. is right in thus correcting the MS. mammatissimamente.

<sup>95</sup> R. transposes these two paragraphs, reading 'Quod autem dicimus . . . intendimus. Et sic patet . . . mollmur.'

0.

- II. ix. title. Quae sint principales in cantione partes, . . . pars
- II. ix. 12. stantia, hoc est mansio capax vel receptaculum totius artis.
  - 22. innotescit
  - 32. minime liceret quod dictum est.
  - 34. quod est artis, comprehendetur ibi cum dicemus partium habitudinem.
  - 36. hic 97 colligere possumus
- II. x. title. Quid sit cantus stantiae, et quod
- II. x. 17. sed in modo diversari videtur;
  - 21. sine dieresi; et dieresim
  - 28. 'ed al gran'
  - 29. dieresim . . . dieresis . . . dieresim vel post vel utrimque.<sup>99</sup>
  - 33. dieresim . . . stantiam
  - 37. dieresim
- II. xi. title. De habitudine stantiae, de numero pedum et syllabarum.
- II. xi. 3. haec enim
  - 7. Incipientes ergo

#### R.

Ponit que sint partes in cantione, . . . pars sit.

- II. ix. § 2. stantia hoc est mansio capax, sive receptaculum - totius artis. innotescet
  - § 4. minime liceret: quod dictum est. quod est ars, illud comprenditur ibi cum dicimus "partium habitudinem." 96
  - § 5. sic colligere possimus

Ostendit quid sit stantia, et quod

- II. x. § 2. sed in modis diversificari videntur; sine diesi; et diesim 98 e al gran
  - § 3. diesim . . . diesis . . . diesim,98 vel post vel undidiesim 98 . . . stantias diesim 98

De numero pedum et sillabarum,

- II. xi. § 1. hec etenim
  - § 2. Incipientes igitur

<sup>96</sup> As R. points out, these last two words must be regarded as a quotation, otherwise they could hardly stand.

<sup>97</sup> This appears to be due to a mere piece of carelessness on the part of Corbinelli.

<sup>98</sup> There can be no doubt as to what the MS. reading represents. The substitution of dieresis for diesis throughout this chapter, in which it occurs seven times, is due to Torri.

<sup>99</sup> Witte is responsible for this needless alteration.

R.

- II. xi. 8. frons cum versibus, et pedes cum syrmate sive cauda, et quidem pedes cum versibus
  - 17. quilibet versus dimeter,
  - 22. 'della mente'
  - 28. Et quemadmodum dicimus versus superare posse carminibus et syllabis frontem, sic dici potest frontem in his duobus posse superare versus: sicut quando quilibet versus esset duobus eptasyllabis metris, et frons esset pentametra duobus endecasyllabis et tribus eptasyllabis contexta.
  - 38. 'muovi tua virtù dal cielo'
  - 43. posse superare carminibus et syllabis superari, et e contrario,
  - 47. in stantia esse tres pedes et duos versus, et tres versus et duos pedes :
  - 50. simul contexere.
  - 60. quia iterum 102
- II. xii. title. fiant stantiae, . . . in carminibus.

- II. xi. § 2. frons cum versibus, pedes cum cauda vel sirmate, nec non pedes cum versibus 100
  - § 3. quilibet versus esset dimeter,

#### de la mente

Et quemadmodum dicimus de fronte, et de versibus posset dici; possent etenim versus superare frontem carminibus, et sillabis superari; ut si quilibet versus esset trimeter, et eptasillaba metra, et frons esset pentametra, duobus endecasillabis et tribus eptasillabis contexta, 101

§ 4. movi tua vertù da cielo

posse superare carminibus sillabis superatam, et e converso,

- § 5. esse in stantia tres pedes et duo versus, et tres versus et duo pedes; similiter contexere.
- § 7. quin iterum

fiant cantiones, . . . in carmine.

<sup>100</sup> The reading of the previous edd. was due to an accidental omission of Corbinelli, which was supplied by Fraticelli by means of Trissino's version.

<sup>101</sup> The MS. text of this passage is very corrupt. R. by an interpolation, which he more or less satisfactorily justifies, has effectively emended it.

<sup>102</sup> Quia, which is certainly wrong, was due originally to a misprint in Maffel's edition, whence it was copied by Fraticelli. The mistake was corrected by Torri and Giuliani.

II. xii. 8. endecasyllabum scilicet, et eptasyllabum, et pentasyllabum; quae ante alia sequenda astruximus.

0.

- 17. 'mi prega'
- 18. diximus:
- 19. 'intelletto d' amore.'
- 20. dico Hispanos qui poetati sunt in vulgari oc.
- 22. Hamericus de Belinoi:
- 23. 'adreitamen.'
- 31. haec est
- 34. uno eptasyllabo
- 41. Fabritium
- 42. 'Di fermo'
- 46. 'Lo mio'
- 50. procedere
- 54. dico in pedibus,55. pedibusque versibusque
- 55. pedibusque versibusque
- 63. 'Donna mi prega, perch' io voglio dire.'
- 65. 'm' ha'
- 69. Hoc satis hinc, lector, sufficienter eligere potes qualiter tibi habituanda sit stantia: habitudo namque circa carmina consideranda videtur.
- 72. Et hoc etiam

II. xii. § 2. endecasillabum scilicet, eptasillabum, et pentasillabum; que trisillabum 103 ante alia sequi astruximus.

R.

§ 3. me prega

dicimus,

intellecto d' amore.

dico Yspanos, qui poetati sunt in vulgari oc.

Namericus de Belnui, adrechamen. 104

- § 4. hee sunt
- § 5. uno solo eptasillabo Fabrutium <sup>105</sup>

De fermo

Lo meo

procedisse

§ 6. dico "pedibus,"

pedibus versibusque

§ 7. Donna me prega,

#### m' à

- § 10. Satis hinc, lector, sufficienter eligere potes qualiter tibi habituanda sit stantia habitudine que circa carmina consideranda videtur. 106
  - § 8. Hoc etiam

<sup>108</sup> The omission of trisillabum, which R. now restores to the text, was due in the first instance to Trissino; he was followed by Corbinelli and all subsequent edd.

<sup>104</sup> An obvious correction, hitherto overlooked.

<sup>105</sup> See note 44. R., noting that here D. names only two poets, but gives three examples, thinks a name has been omitted; he would supply Guidonem Guinizelli in front of the other two.

<sup>106</sup> This passage (which he emends by substituting habitudine que for habitudo namque, and altering the punctuation) is placed by R. at the end of the chapter.

O.

#### R.

- II. xii. 77. pars trimetra
  - 80. sic pars altera, extrema endecasyllaba et medium eptasyllabum habeat:
  - quemadmodum de pedibus dicimus et de versibus;
  - 87. illi ante, hi post dieresim
  - sic de duobus, et de pluribus
- II. xiii. title. De relatione rithimorum, . . . in stantia.
- II. xiii. 6. quaedam reseranda
  - 7. stantia sive rithimus,
  - 12. 'Si m fos Amors, de joi donar tan larga.'
  - 13. diximus:
  - 14. 'Al poco giorno, ed al gran cerchio d'ombra.'
  - 28. ore tenus intimavit.
  - 37. diversos rithimos faciunt
  - 38. post dieresim
  - 49. omnis apta licentia
  - 60. in praemediato capitulo
  - 64. omni modo

- II. xii. § 8. pes trimeter 107
  - et pes alter habeat secundum eptasillabum et extrema endecasillaba: 108
  - § 9. quemadmodum de pedibus, dicimus et de versibus; hii ante, hii post diesim 109 sic de pluribus,

De varietate rithimorum;...in cantione.

- II. xiii. § 2. quedam resecanda stantia sine rithimo, 110 Sem fos Amor de joi donar; 111 dicimus, Al poco giorno. 111
  - § 3. oretenus intimavit. 112 diversos faciunt esse rithimos
  - § 4. post diesim 113
  - § 5. omnis optata licentia
  - § 6. in preinmediato capitulo omnimode

<sup>107</sup> This correction of the MS. reading (pars trimeter) had already been made by Trissino; but it was overlooked by Corbinelli and succeeding edd.

<sup>108</sup> The emendation of this passage is due to Boehmer.

<sup>109</sup> See note 98.

 $<sup>^{110}</sup>$  MSS. sine rithimos. Giuliani reads sine rithimis. The correction, made originally by Boehmer, was much needed.

<sup>111</sup> R. omits the concluding words of each of these lines, as being wanting in the MSS.

<sup>112</sup> Giuliani arbitrarily reads intonavit.

<sup>118</sup> See note 98. In this and the following passage Giuliani has taken unwarrantable liberties with the text.

O.

R.

- II. xiii. 68. innovari
  - 69. dum tamen
  - 71. trimetrum
  - 81. desinentium
  - 83. videtur quae . . . huic appendere capitulo,
  - 88. reperiri
  - 92. nascentis militiae dux,115
  - 95. visi sumus
- II. xiv. title. De numero carminum et syllabarum in stantia.
- II. xiv. 1. Ex quo quae sunt
  - videre oportet aliquid, et aliquid dividere, quod postea secundum partes
  - 8. Nostra ergo
  - 11. quaedam non: cum ea quae
  - 16. quandoque contentive canere contingit. Quae circa sinistrum sunt verba...ad extremum.<sup>118</sup>

- II. xiii. § 6. innovare
  - dumtaxat
  - trimetri
  - § 7. desinentiarum 114
  - § 8. videtur ut, que . . . huic appendamus capitulo, potiri nascentis militie dies, nisi sumus

Omitted.

- II. xiv. § 1. Ex quo duo que sunt 116 videre oportet aliquid; deinde secundum partes 117
  - § 2. Nostra igitur
    quedam non. Nam cum
    ea que
    quandoque contemptive
    canere contingit, que
    circa sinistra sunt verba
    ... ad extremum...

<sup>114</sup> This correction is due to Giuliani.

<sup>115</sup> Here O. unadvisedly adopts Giuliani's substitution of dux for dies.

<sup>116</sup> R. interpolates duo as being wanted to complete the sense.

<sup>117</sup> The interpolations in the text of previous edd. were due to Corbinelli.

<sup>118</sup> The full stop at the end in O. is a mistake due to the printers, the sentence being broken off in the middle.

#### APPENDIX.

The foregoing collation of Professor Pio Rajna's critical text (R) of the De Vulgari Eloquentia with that of the Oxford Dante (O) was already in type when the edizione minore of Professor Rajna's text made its appearance. In this new edition (which was to some extent the outcome of a suggestion made by the present writer in a review of the larger work in Romania\*) Professor Rajna has introduced several important modifications of the text. A collation of the emended passages (some two dozen in number), as they stand in the edizione minore (R<sup>2</sup>), with the text of the previous edition (R<sup>1</sup>) is given below, and will enable the student to see at a glance wherein the emendations consist. Some of these are comparatively insignificant, but not a few of them, on the other hand, are of real importance, and undoubtedly tend to the improvement of the text.

PAGET TOYNBEE.

#### $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ .

- iv. § 3. ab eo qui statim ipsum plasmaverat.
  - § 5. Orituret hic ista questio, cum dicimus superius per viam responsionis hominem primum fuisse locutum, si responsio fuit ad Deum: nam, si ad Deum

#### $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ .

ab eo qui statim plasmaverat <sup>119</sup>

Oritur et hic ista questio, cum dicimus superius per viam responsionis hominem primum fuisse locutum: si responsio, fuit ad Deum? Nam, si ad Deum 120

- \* Romania, No. 101, January, 1897. Professor Rajna says in his preface: 'Mentre del trattato De Vulgari Eloquentia vengo preparando l'edizione già annunziata con commento dichiarativo, mi è parso opportuno di ridar fuori il testo critico in un'edizione minore, accessibile a tutti per la tenuità del costo, e di comodo uso. Che l'opportunità ci sia davvero, mi è stato confermato dall'assenso di coloro ai quali mi accadde di comunicare il mio disegno, e dal desiderio che di una edizione siffatta ebbe a manifestare spontaneamente, nel rendere conto della maggiore in un recente fascicolo della Romania (xxvi. 125), quel valente cultore degli studi danteschi che è il Paget Toynbee.'
- <sup>119</sup> (O. I. iv. 25) R. now rejects the interpolated *ipsum*, which is not in T, and is a later insertion in G.
- $^{120}$  (O. I. iv. 43) The improvement in the punctuation of this passage is due to Professor Parodi.

R1.

- viii. § 2. Sed, sive avene tunc primitus advenissent, sive ad Europam indigene repedassent,
  - § 3. per diversa vulgaria derivatum,
- I. ix. § 6. quem exolescere non videremus.
- I. x. § 4. Tertia, que Latinorum est.
- xi. § 5. Casentinenses et Fratenses.
- I. xii. title. Quod in eodem loco diversificatur idioma secundum quod variatur tempus.
- xiv. § 4. Veneti quoque nec sese investigati vulgaris honore dignantur; etsi quis eorum, errore confisus, vanitaret in hoc, recor-

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Sed, sive advene tunc primitus advenissent, sive ad Europam indigene repedissent, 121 per diversa vulgaria dirivatum, 122

quem exolescere non videmus. 123

Tertia quoque, que Latinorum est, 124

Casentinenses et Pratenses. 125

Omitted.126

- § 4. Veneti quoque nec sese investigati vulgaris honore dignantur; et si quis eorum, errore confisus, vanitaret in hoc, recorde-
- 121 (O. I. viii. 13) R. here abandons the form *avene*, which he previously favoured (see above, note 16), and reverts to the MS. reading *repedissent* for reasons already given in a supplementary note to the larger edition (see above, note 17).
- 122 (O. I. viii. 32) The reading dirivatum (T), as against derivatum (G), is supported by a reference to Uguccione da Pisa, who (s.v. Ruo) distinguishes between derivare and dirivare as follows: 'Derivare est rivum de fonte ducere; sed dirivare est fontem in diversos rivulos ducere. Dirivatur ergo grecismus in latinitatem, idest, quasi fons in rivulos ducitur; sed latinitas derivatur a grecismo, idest, quasi de fonte ducitur.' In the difference of reading between T and G here, R. sees an additional proof of their independence of each other.
- 128 (O. I. ix. 72) R., in substituting videnus for viderenus (which is the MS. reading), follows Corbinelli and the old edd.
- 124 (O. I. x. 25) Tertia quoque, que had already been proposed by R., as an alternative reading, in a note in the larger edition; his adoption of it now in the text is due to Professor Parodi.
- <sup>125</sup> (O. I. xi. 42) Here R. abandons a reading the adoption of which in his previous edition he acknowledges to have been somewhat hasty (see above, note 30).
- 126 The title here does not correspond to the contents of the chapter; R. has consequently done well to relegate it to the footnotes. O., following Fraticelli, substitutes *De idiomate Siculo et Apulo*, which was primarily due to Trissino.

R1.

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

detur si unquam dixit, Per le plage de Dio, tu non veras. Inter quos omnes . . . Ildebrandinum paduanum. § 5. Quare, omnibus . . . vulgare illustre.

- I. xv. § 6. si Latium illustre venamur,
- I. xvi. § 2 omnia comparentur et ponderentur; et illud aliorum omnium mensuram accipimus: sicut in numero
  - § 4. in impari numero magis redolet quam in pari;
- I. xviii. § 1. frutices de ytalica silva?
- II. i. § 1. ad calamum frugi operis redeuntes,
  - § 2. utrum versificantes vulgariter debeant

tur si unquam dixit, Per le plage de Dio, tu non veras. § 5. Inter quos omnes . . . Ildebrandinum paduanum. § 6. Quare, omnibus . . . vulgare illustre. 127

si latinum illustre venamur, <sup>128</sup>

omnia comparentur et ponderentur, et quod velut aliorum omnium mensuram accipiamus; sicut in numero <sup>129</sup>

in impari numero redolet magis quam in pari; <sup>130</sup>

frutices de ytala silva? 181 ad calamum frugi operis redeuntis, 182

utrum versificantes omnes vulgariter debeant <sup>138</sup>

127 (O. I. xiv. 35-48) Inasmuch as *Inter quos omnes* does not refer to *Veneti* only, but to all the peoples who have been mentioned in the course of the chapter, R. alters the distribution of his paragraphs accordingly.

- 128 (O. I. xv. 68) R. here reverts to the reading of previous edd., which in his former text he had abandoned after a good deal of hesitation. Adopting a suggestion of Professor Parodi, he explains *latinum* in this case as standing for *latinum vulgare*.
- 129 (O. I. xvi. 10-12) None of the emendations of this difficult passage is altogether satisfactory. R. now restores to the text the accipianus of the MSS., and for et illud reads et quod velut, which he evolves, with a certain plausibility, from the MS. et illico.
- 180 (O. I. xvi. 54) The inversion magis redolet for redolet magis, in the previous edition, was due to a slip on the part of R. This divergence between O. and R. was overlooked in the collation.
- 181 (O. I. xviii. 12) R. reads ytala for ytalica here, as he had already done in a previous passage (in which the same phrase occurs, I. xv. § 1) in his former edition.
- 182 (O. II. i. 2) The slight improvement involved in reading redeuntis for redeuntes is due to Professor Parodi.
- 188 (O. II. i. 14) R. justifies the insertion of *omnes* (which was interpolated by Trissino, first on the margin of his MS. and then in his translation) by a reference to §§ 2, 6, 7 of this chapter and to § 1 of the next.

#### R¹.

- II. ii. § 2. et si cognito habituante habituatum cognoscitur in quantum habituatum, cognita dignitate cognoscemus et dignum.
  - § 4. homo tripliciter spirituatus est, spiritu videlicet vegetabili, animali et rationali,
- II. iii. § 7. Ad hoc, in artificiatis
- II. iv. § 2. nichil aliud est quam fictio rethorica versificata in musicaque posita.
- iv. § 2. Unde nos, doctrine operam impendentes,
  - § 4. debemus discretionem potiri,
- II. v. § 7. quomodo innectere quis debeat

#### R 2.

et si cognito habituante habituatum cognoscitur in quantum huiusmodi, cognita dignitate cognoscemus et dignum.<sup>134</sup> homo tripliciter spirituatus est, videlicet vegetabili, animali et rationali, <sup>135</sup>

Ad hec, in artificiatis <sup>136</sup> nichil aliud est quam fictio rethorica musice composita. <sup>137</sup>

Unde nos, doctrine operi operam impendentes, <sup>138</sup> debemus discretione potiri, <sup>139</sup>

quomodo viere quis debeat<sup>140</sup>

- 134 (O. II. ii. 10-13) The reading huiusmodi for the MS. huius unde is happier still than the habituatum adopted in the previous edition (see above, note 61). R. quotes examples of the use of the phrase in quantum huiusmodi, from the Summa of Aquinas, and from a mediaeval Latin version of Aristotle's Analytica Posteriora.
- 185 (O. II. ii. 48) R. now rejects the *spiritu* (interpolated by Fraticelli after a suggestion of Witte) as unnecessary, the adjectives *vegetabili*, *animali*, *rationali* being used here substantively in the neuter, a use which he parallels exactly from Albertus Magnus.
- 186 (O. II. iii. 47) Ad hec is preferred by R. to Ad hoc as being more consonant with mediaeval usage.
- 137 (O. II. iv. 20) We had already expressed our preference for the reading now adopted by R. (see above, note 66), and are pleased to find that the expression of our opinion was instrumental in bringing about the abandonment of the interpolated versificata. R. says: 'ho finito per rinunziare alle aggiunte e per inalzare agli onori del testo un' altra congettura che avevo esposto in nota, la quale ha avuto frattanto l'approvazione del Paget Toynbee, secondo mi dice una sua lettera.'
- 188 (O. II. iv. 26) This reading R. had already proposed as an alternative in his previous edition.
- 189 (O. II. iv. 37) R. now admits himself to have been ill advised in substituting the acc. for the abl., potiri (like uti, its synonym) being constructed with either case in mediaeval Latin.
- 140 (O. II. v. 75) The restoration of *viere* to the text is now happily accomplished (see above, note 81).

#### $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ .

- II. vi. § 6. tot reductis auctoribus ad memoriam;
- II. vii. title. que in metro vulgaria cadere non possunt.
- II. vii. § 4. ut greggia et cetera;

**R** <sup>2</sup>.

tot reductis autoribus ad memoriam; <sup>141</sup> que in metro vulgari cadere non possunt. <sup>142</sup> ut *greggia* et *cetra*; <sup>143</sup>

 $^{141}$  (O. II. vi. 75) For the distinction between  $\it auctor$  and  $\it autor$  see Conv. IV. vi. 14-49 and above, note 81.

142 R. now accepts the correction (vulgari for vulgaria) of previous edd., which he rejected in his previous edition.

148 (O. II. vii. 34) See above, note 90.